



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/707,015	•	11/06/2000	Arik Elberse	476-1955 8187	
23644	7590	10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
BARNES & P.O. BOX 2		NBURG	LEZAK, ARRIENNE M		
CHICAGO,		00-2786		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2143	
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	H					
Advisory Action	09/707,015	ELBERSE ET AL.						
Navicely Neuen	Examiner	Art Unit	•					
	Arrienne M. Lezak	2143						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rech places the application	ply to a cation in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	E FINAL RÉJECTION. S						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does No	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 & 5-20</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			$\overline{}$					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app		, ,	//					
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	DAVID WITH						
10. Other:	SU	PERVISORY PATENT FECHNOLOGY CENT	EXAMINER					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/707,015

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Examiner notes that Applicant has amended claims requiring a new search. Moreover, Applicant has addressed a substantive (112) issue which could have been addressed earlier but for Applicant's admitted oversight, which issue will now require extensive further consideration.